In re Application of:

Serial No.:

Ranno, Carl P. 10/350,847

Atty. Docket No.:

PHJM0655-032

Reply to Office Action of 10/14/2004

Art Group: 3671

Examiner: Novosad, Christopher J.

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Applicants believe they have amended the abstract and specification to address the informalities noted on page two of the Office Action dated October 14, 2004.

In addition, Applicants have enclosed a Terminal Disclaimer To Obviate A Provisional Double Patenting Rejection Over A Pending "Reference" Application they believe with overcome the provisional rejection under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting.

## Conclusion

Applicants believe they have responded to every issue raised in the Office Action of October 8, 2004. Applicants respectfully request that the application now issue.

Date: 11 D 2004

Respectfully submitted,

er**la**ble,

Ellis & V

Attorneys for Applicant

101 North First Avenue, Suite 1875

Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1910

(602) 631-9100